

1114

In County Court Jan'y Term 1888
7th Judicial day, Monday, Jan'y 9th 1888.

for final hearing, the same having been read on a previous day of this term of this court, to wit: Jan'y Term 1888, and the said viewers having reported favorably and recommending the establishing of the same as a County Road and the field notes and plat of survey of said road being duly on file and certified to by the Surveyor appointed to survey the same, and there being no remonstrance on file against said road.

It is therefore ordered by the court that the report of said viewers be accepted, and that said road be established in accordance with said report, and that the report of said viewers, field notes and plat of survey thereof be recorded as by law required, and that the Road Supervisors through whose District said road passes be directed to open the same for public use as a County Road.

In the matter of a County Road
com. at the center of or $\frac{1}{4}$ post on
the E. boundary line of Sec 15 in
Tp 3 N. R 31 E. W. M. and ending at
what is known as Bakers
bridge on the Umatilla River

Road No 300.
Docket No 87.

Now on this day comes the report of N. Daughtry, J. S. McLeod and Wm Martin the viewers here to fore appointed at the Sept 1887 Term of this court to view and determine how much less valuable the lands of William Goodnight and N. M. Perkins would be rendered by reason of the location of the above mentioned road as a County Road for final hearing, the same having been read on a previous day of this term of this court, to wit: Jan'y Term 1888, and it appearing from said report that said viewers duly met at the time and place appointed and were duly qualified before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf, and it further appearing from said report that the said viewers have estimated and appraised the damage sustained to the land of William Goodnight at the sum of Fifty (\$50.) Dollars, and whereas N. M. Perkins mentioned herein has withdrawn his claim for damages and for that reason said viewers have assessed no damages for said N. M. Perkins.

And it also appearing to the court that the viewers heretofore appointed to view out and report upon the loca-

In County Court Jan'y term 1888
7th Judicial day Monday Jan'y 9th 1888

lion of the above mentioned road, duly made their report at the Sept Term 1887 of this Court, and that said viewers reported favorably and recommend the location of the same as a County Road, and the field notes and plat of survey of said road being duly on file and certified to by the Surveyor appointed to survey the same.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the report of said viewers be accepted, and that the road be established in accordance with said report, and that the report of said viewers, field notes and plat of survey thereof be recorded as by law required upon payment by the petitioners herein to said William Goodnight or his Attorney the sum of Fifty (\$50.) Dollars, as awarded him as damages by the said viewers N. Daughtry, J. S. McLeod and Wm Martin and the satisfaction of said sum having been paid to be filed with the Clerk of this Court by the petitioners herein.

And that upon said satisfaction of the payment of said damages being filed as ordered, the Road Supervisors through whose District said road runs be directed to open the same for public use as a County Road.

In the matter of a County Road } Road No 302
beg. at State Line and ending at the }
Corporate limits of the City of } Docket No 88
Milton, Oregon.

Now on this day comes the report of W^m G. Bade, H. B. Lee and W^m M. Sleen the viewers heretofore appointed at the Sept Term 1887 of this Court, to view out and report upon the location of the above mentioned road as a County Road for final hearing, the same having been read on a previous day of this term of this Court, to wit: Jan'y Term 1888, the said viewers having reported favorably and recommending the establishing of the same as a County Road, and the field notes and plat of survey of said road being duly on file and certified to by the Surveyor appointed to survey the same.

Also comes the petition of Q. M. Kinney, Administrator of the Estate of Antoine Moran, deceased, who represents to the Court that he is the Administrator of the Estate of Antoine Moran deceased, and that the following described real estate is wit:
S 1/2 of the S E 1/4 Sec 22 and N. W 1/4 of N E 1/4 Sec 27 all in Twp 6 N. R 35 E. W. M. belongs to said Estate, and said Administrator claims