

974

In County Court April Term 1883
8th Judicial day Tuesday April 14th 1883

M^cGormack claiming damage in the sum of \$750⁰⁰

It is therefore ordered by the Court that Newton Loveridge, Jacob Frazer and F. M. Mansfield be and they are hereby appointed viewers to view and estimate as to the damage sustained by the establishing of said proposed road as a County Road to the lands of L. C. Preston and J. W. M^cGormack as aforesaid, and that they report their doings to this Court at the July 1883 Term hereof, and that they meet at the house of L. C. Preston on the 4th day of May 1883 and duly qualify before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf.

In the matter of a change in
County Road from Swift's
Station by way of Prospect to
Umatilla Landing on lands
of T. H. Lacefield et al

Road No 235
Docket No 112

Now on this day comes the report
of the viewers and surveyor heretofore appointed at the January
Term 1883 of this Court to view out and report upon the location
of the change in County Road above mentioned, the same having
been read upon a previous day of this term of this Court, to wit:
April Term 1883.

It is therefore ordered after a personal examination of the
within described change in County Road by this Court, it is found
that the new road has not been put in good condition to travel
and is not equal to the old one and the report is hereby denied.

In the matter of a County Road
commencing at the County Road
at the N.W. cor. of Sec 16 Tp 3 N. R
33 E, thence East upon line to cross
ing of Greasewood, thence to the N.E. cor
of N.W. 1/4 of Sec 18 Tp 3 N. R 34 E, thence
on most feasible ground to Rail
Road Station at Eastland.

Road No 234
Docket No 111

Now on this day comes the report
of David Duff, John R. Murphy and J. W. M^cGormack the viewers
heretofore appointed at the January 1883 Term of this Court to
view and determine how much less valuable the lands of John
Hendrickson and Henry Jacobson would be rendered by reason of the
location of the above mentioned road as a County Road, for final

In County Court April Term 1885
8th Judicial day Tuesday April 14th 1885

hearing, the same having been read on a previous day of this term of this Court to wit: April term 1885 and it appearing from said report that said viewers duly met at the time and place appointed, and were duly qualified before entering upon the discharge of their duties in this behalf, and it further appearing from said report that the said viewers have estimated and appraised the damage sustained to the land of John Hendrickson at the sum of \$100, and also estimated and appraised the damage sustained to the land of Henry Jacobson at the sum of \$150⁰⁰.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the report of said viewers be accepted, and that the road be established in accordance with said report, and that the report of said viewers, field notes and plat of survey thereof be recorded as by law required, upon payment by the petitioners herein to John Hendrickson or the Clerk of this Court the sum of \$100, and to Henry Jacobson or the Clerk of this Court the sum of \$150⁰⁰ as awarded them as damages by the said viewers David Duff, John R. Murphy and J. W. M. Cormack and the satisfaction of said sum having been paid, to be filed with the Clerk of this Court by the petitioners herein.

And that upon said satisfaction of the payment of said damages being filed as ordered, the Road Supervisor through whose district said road runs, be directed to open the same for public use as a County Road.

In the matter of a change
in a County Road extending
from Camas Creek to Pilot
Rock on the lands of R. H.
Patton et al the owners of said land }

Road N^o 242
Docket N^o 116

Now on this day comes the petition of R. H. Patton and 32 others praying the Court to appoint viewers and Surveyor to view, survey and locate the above mentioned change as a County Road. The said change as keed for all being on the lands of petitioners, and it appearing to the Court that the starting point in said change is not definitely stated.

It is therefore ordered by the Court that the petition for the above change be and the same is hereby denied.